Folder feedback

# Group 1

Nice index. A very thorough effort in terms of text and descriptions. It was in good depth and contained a lot of useful information about secretarial English 8.5

# Group 2

A good amount of work. Some of the sentence structures could be improved, but it had a nice variety of information. Various sources were consulted and it was presented quite nicely. 8

# Group 3

Formatting is a little inconsistent in places and in other places it is poor (“Fanilly, alle” should be *Finally, all*). Presentation could be improved. There is some useful information in there, and the work had some good individual effort 5.5

# Group 4

Some good examples. Presentation is a little lacking (mainly just text). Could focus more on the language and go into more depth at points. 6.5

# Group 5

Well written in general. The presentation was fairly simple but some good information was well explained. It could have gone into more depth at points. 7.5

# Group 6

A fairly good effort overall, which was relevant and to the point. Some nice examples, but could have gone into greater depth and greater detail. 7

# Group 7

Nicely presented, but needed a lot more detail and work considering the time allowed for the project. What was presented was fine. 5.5

# Group 8

Nicely structured, and was presented well (in general). Sentence structure could be improved, but it was largely relevant to the task. 7.5

# Group 9

Very nicely presented. A lot of effort went into the layout. The main information was interesting, but could have gone into greater detail. 7

# Group 10

A lot of effort went into this, including interviewing different secretaries. The work was in good depth, and though confusing at points, it was satisfying. 8

# Group 11

This was the folder that stood out the most. The presentation was amazing and a lot of effort went into the creativity. The content was quite good, and it made some good points. Well done and thank you for the effort. 8.5

# Group 12

Quite inconsistent. A couple of sections were very weak (only a paragraph) whereas others were many pages and involved a lot of research. Overall, it was a good effort. 7

# Group 13

Nice presentation. Certain parts were a little light in depth and more details could have been given. 6.5